



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,142		10/09/2003	J. Michael Ramstack	000166.0073-US02	6453	
26853	7590	09/21/2005		EXAMINER		
COVINGT	ON & B	BURLING	TRAN, SUSAN T			
ATTN: PAT 1201 PENN		OCKETING NIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401			1615		
				DATE MAILED: 09/21/200:	DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/681,142	RAMSTACK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Susan T. Tran	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ma	arch 2005						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	<u></u>						
·							
,	,						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 24-34</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
I) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/25/05</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/681,142

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 03/25/05 was filed after the mailing date of the None-Final Office Action on 12/22/04. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-22 and 24-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francois et al. US 6,555,544.

Francois teaches an aqueous suspension of submicron risperidone suitable for injection, and a method for preparing same comprising mixing the micronized

Art Unit: 1615

risperidone with a liquid medium to form a premix, mixing the premix with suspending agent (see abstract; and column 5, lines 52-55; and column 6, lines 54-61).

Suspending agent includes sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (column 6, line 67). The composition further comprises buffer, one or more of a preservative agent, and isotonizing agent (column 6, lines 54-61). Francois further teaches a method of administering the composition for the treatment of disease in warm blood animal such as human (see abstract; and column 8, lines 8-20).

It is noted that Francois does not explicitly teach the viscosity at 20°C, as well as the claimed concentrations of the ingredients. However, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration. Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to, by routine experimentation determine suitable concentrations of the ingredients to obtain a desirable viscosity, with the expectation of obtaining the claimed invention, because Francois recognizes the desirability of having an injectable composition relates to the ease of administer, particularly, an aqueous suspension having viscosity below about 75 mPa's that provides injectability of the composition through a fine needle, e.g., a 21 gauge needle (column 7, lines 35-44), and because Francois teaches the use of the same ingredients for the same purpose, e.g., risperidone suspended in aqueous injection vehicle containing sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (viscosity enhancing agent). It is further noted that Francois does not expressly teach the steps of claim 9. However, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the suspending agent (sodium carboxymethyl cellulose) to the liquid medium using the syringe, because Francois teaches a composition having a viscosity that provides injectability of the composition through a fine needle having the claimed diameter, e.g., a 21 gauge needle (column 7, lines 35-44), and because Francois teaches a composition that improve patients' compliance, and having good local tolerance, as well as ease of administration.

Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 03/25/05 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/681,142 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-0606. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).